

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/692,852	10/20/2000	Andrew R. Osborn	65,135-008	6250	
27305	05 7590 12/22/2005		EXAMINER		
	& HOWARD ATTORN	STRANGE, AARON N			
THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2153		

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/692,852	OSBORN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Aaron Strange	2153		

	Aaron Strange	2153					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other evidence with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In ne event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a notice of Appeal has been filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•		because				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 204)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	-	e, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10, 12 and 14-47.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See attachment.	, , , , , ,		ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
13. Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/692,852 Page 2

Art Unit: 2153

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/6/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. With regard to Applicant's assertion that the "messages" taught by Antonov somehow fail to meet the claimed limitation "processed information", the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The term "processed information" is extremely broad, and even considering Applicant's definition that "processed information" is "information that is processed by proceeding through a number of tasks" (Page 4, Lines 1-4 of Remarks), it is apparent that a message meets this definition.

As taught by Antonov, the messages are "generated" by workstation computers (Col 5, Lines 49-53). In accordance with Applicant's provided definition of a "computer" (Page 5 of Remarks), these workstations "perform prescribed computational and logical operations at high speed, and output the results of these operations". Therefore, in "generating" messages, the workstation computers proceed through a number of tasks (computational and logical operations) and output the results (the message).

3. With regard to Applicant's assertion that "As a further deficiency with the Official Action of September 7, 2005, it is improper to correlate the sending of both data and executable code (2 separate items) as claimed with the sending of messages (a single item) as set forth in Antonov. In other words, it is improper to characterize the messages of Antonov as being two different things." (Page 4, Lines 15-19 of Remarks), it is noted

Application/Control Number: 09/692,852 Page 3

Art Unit: 2153

that no such correlation was made. The relevant claim, claim 4, was rejected under 35 USC 103 over the combination of Antonov and Kisor.

Furthermore, it is apparent from the language of claim 4 that the data is transmitted "along with" executable code. A single message containing both data and executable code would meet all of the limitations of claim 4.

- 4. For at least the reasons discussed above, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive and the rejections set forth in the Office Action of 9/7/2005 are MAINTAINED.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Strange whose telephone number is 571-272-3959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/692,852 Page 4

Art Unit: 2153

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AS 12/19/2005

GLENTON B. BURGESS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100